

Overview of the Airline Planning Process Dr. Peter Belobaba

Istanbul Technical University Air Transportation Management

M.Sc. Program

Network, Fleet and Schedule Strategic Planning Module 2: 10 March 2014

Lecture Outline

Basic Airline Profit Model

Fundamental Strategies to Improve Profitability

Airline Planning Decisions

- Fleet Planning
- Route Evaluation
- Schedule Development
- Pricing and Revenue Management
- Operations Control

Airline Organizational Structure

Operating Profit = Revenues - Operating Expense

Operating Profit = RPK x Yield - ASK x Unit Cost

- Use of individual terms in this profit equation to measure airline success can be misleading:
 - High Yield is not desirable if ALF is too low; in general, Yield is a poor indicator of airline profitability
 - Low Unit Cost is of little value if Revenues are weak
 - Even ALF on its own tells us little about profitability, as high ALF could be the result of extremely low fares (yields)
- Profit maximizing strategy is to increase revenues, decrease costs, but the above terms are interrelated.

• Increase Traffic Carried (RPKs):

- Reduce fares (average yields) to stimulate traffic, but revenue impact depends on demand elasticity
- For revenues to increase, price cut must generate disproportionate increase in total demand (i.e., "elastic demand")
- Alternatively, frequency or service quality can be increased to attract passengers, but both actions also increase operating costs

• Increase Fares (Yields):

 Economic theory tells us any price increase will lead to an inevitable traffic decrease, but a price increase can still be revenue positive if demand is "inelastic" (i.e., percent decrease in passengers is lower than percent increase in price).


- Reduce Unit Costs (Cost per ASK):
 - Reduce service quality, but too many cuts can affect consumers' view of the airline's product, leading to a reduced RPKs and market share
 - Increase ASKs by flying more flights and larger airplanes, which can lower unit costs but lead to higher <u>total</u> operating costs and lower load factors

• Reduce Airline Output (Decrease ASKs):

- Cutting back on number of flights will reduce total operating costs, but lower frequencies lead to market share losses (lower RPKs)
- Reduced frequencies and/or use of smaller aircraft can result in higher unit costs, as fixed costs are spread over fewer ASKs.

Airline Planning Decisions

- 1. FLEET PLANNING: What aircraft to acquire/retire, when and how many?
- 2. ROUTE EVALUATION: What network structure to operate and city-pairs to be served?
- 3. SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT: How often, at what times and with which aircraft on each route?
- 4. PRICING: What products, fares and restrictions for each O-D market?
- 5. REVENUE MANAGEMENT: How many bookings to accept, by type of fare, to maximize revenue over the network?
- 6. OPERATIONS CONTROL: Implementing planned schedule of operations, given airport and air traffic control constraints.



SOURCE: Prof. C. Barnhart

1. FLEET PLANNING

- Fleet composition is long-term strategic decision and largest capital investment for an airline
 - Affects financial position, operating costs, and especially the ability to serve specific routes.

Economics of fleet choice

- Lower operating costs vs. higher ownership costs of new aircraft
- Lower trip costs of smaller aircraft vs. lower unit costs (CASK) and greater revenue generation of larger aircraft

• Fleet evaluations depend on aggregate analysis

- Detailed network profitability models seldom used given tremendous uncertainty of future demand, costs, competition
- "Top-down" economic and financial impacts evaluated with spreadsheets, NPV analysis and scenario-building

2. ROUTE EVALUATION

- Given a fleet, determination of routes to be flown
 - Network structure (hub/spoke, point-to-point or hybrid)


• Evaluation approach at a disaggregate (route) level:

- Demand, market share and revenue forecasts required for specific route, perhaps for multiple years into the future
- Aircraft performance and operating cost characteristics

Route planning decision factors

- Availability of aircraft with adequate range and capacity link to fleet plan and overall network strategy
- Operational constraints and aircraft/crew rotation issues
- Regulations, bilaterals, and limited airport slots
- Opportunity cost of using aircraft on this route
- Degree of competition and expected competitive response


Example: Airline "Profit Manager"



3. SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT

- Involves several interrelated decisions, which to date have not been fully integrated:
 - <u>Frequency Planning</u>: Number of departures to be offered on each route, non-stop versus multi-stop
 - <u>Timetable Development</u>: Flight departure and arrival times, including connections at airline hubs
 - <u>Fleet Assignment</u>: Aircraft type for each flight, based on demand and operating cost estimates
 - <u>Aircraft Rotation Planning</u>: Links consecutive flights to ensure balanced aircraft flows on the network.

Integrated Scheduling Planning Process: Key Decisions



4. PRICING DECISIONS

• "Differential pricing" by airlines is universal:

- Different "fare products" within the coach cabin, with different restrictions, at different prices
- Virtually every airline in the world offers multiple price points (even low-fare carriers with "simplified" fare structures)

"Pricing Decision Support Systems"

- Difficult to estimate price elasticity, willingness to pay, potential for stimulation and diversion
- No practical tools for airlines to determine "optimal" prices
- Primarily monitoring of competitive price changes

• Dominant practice is still to *match* low fares to fill planes and retain market share

Need to match exacerbated by web sites and search engines

5. REVENUE MANAGEMENT

• Seat inventory control to maximize revenues

- Given a scheduled flight, capacity and prices, how many bookings to accept by fare type
- Objective is to maximize revenue -- fill each seat with highest possible revenue
- Computerized RM systems based on demand forecasting and revenue optimization:
 - Leg-based RM systems increase revenues by 4-6%
 - Network RM systems more sophisticated, add another 1-2%
- Recent industry developments affect RM systems
 - Fare simplification and "fare family" bundling require new approaches to forecasting and optimization
 - Alliance code-share traffic complicates both RM and distribution

RM Strategy Affects Yield, Load Factor Average Fare and Revenues


EXAMPLE: 2100 MILE FLIGHT LEG CAPACITY = 200

NUMBER OF SEATS SOLD:				
FARE		YIELD	LOAD FACTOR	REVENUE
CLASS		EMPHASIS	EMPHASIS	EMPHASIS
Y	\$420	20	10	17
B	\$360	23	13	23
H	\$230	22	14	19
V	\$180	30	55	37
Q	\$120	15	68	40
	TOTAL PASSENGERS	110	160	136
	LOAD FACTOR	55%	80%	68%
	TOTAL REVENUE	\$28,940	\$30,160	\$31,250
	AVERAGE FARE	\$263	\$189	\$230
	YIELD (CENTS/RPM)	12.53	8.98	10.94


6. OPERATIONS CONTROL

- Coordinate the daily operations of the airline on a dynamic basis.
- Ensure completion of schedule plan within company goals for on-time performance and safety.
- Process passengers, baggage and cargo subject to numerous operational constraints:
 - Limited number of gates, many with constraints on aircraft size
 - Airport flow limitations on taxiways and runways
 - Availability of airport and ground crew resources
 - Weather (both local and en route) as well as airport field conditions
 - Air traffic control (ATC) congestion and delays

Airline Planning and Operations



IT Systems: Planning and Distribution



TRAVEL AGENCY

- Current practice is to perform scheduling, pricing and RM sequentially.
- Integrated models would *jointly* optimize schedules, capacity, prices, and seat inventories:
 - Better feedback from pricing and RM systems can affect optimal choice of schedule and aircraft
 - Better choice of schedule and capacity can reduce need for excessive discounting and "fare wars"

• Joint optimization and planning is a big challenge:

- Research is still required to identify models that can capture dynamics and competitive behaviors
- Organizational coordination within airlines and willingness to accept large-scale decision tool

Example: Airline Organizational Structure

